

UNITED SATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/973,815	04/03/98	ZENTGRAF		Н	012627-003	
- 02183 9		HM12/0217	\neg	EXAMINER		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS P O BOX 1404				HARRIS	3,A	
ALEXANDRIA		.04		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1642		
				DATE MAILED	: 02/17/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/17/00

Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/973,815**

Applicant(s)

Zentgraf et al.

Examiner

Alana M. Harris, Ph. D.

Group Art Unit 1642



☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or thirty longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response vapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the part of Claim Disposition of Claim	days, whichever is will cause the rovisions of
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or thirty longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response vapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the part of Claim Disposition of Claim	days, whichever is will cause the rovisions of
longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response vapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the part of CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claim	will cause the rovisions of
	e pending in the applicat
M 01-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	e pending in the applicat
X Claim(s) <u>1-7, 11, and 12</u> is/are	
Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-6, 11, and 12</u> is/are with	drawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.
🗴 Claim(s) 7	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subject to restrictio	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/973,815

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III (claim 7) in Paper No.14 (filed December 1. 20, 1999) is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that it would not be an undue burden on the Examiner to search all three Groups at the same time. This is not found persuasive.

The argument that a search encompassing Groups III, V and VIII is not found persuasive for the reasons set forth in the restriction requirement (Paper No. 11, mailed July 19, 1999). As to the question of burden of search, the claims of Groups III, V and VIII are classified differently, necessitating different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes. Further, classification of subject matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not co-extensive and is much more important in evaluating the burden of search. Clearly different searches and issues are involved in the in the examination of each group. For these reasons the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is adhered to.

The requirement is therefore made FINAL. Further, Groups V and VIII involve different method steps, which require additional searching.

However, the policies set forth in the Commissioner's Notice of February 28, 1996 published on March 26, 1996 at 1184 O.G. 86 will be followed. Method claims limited to the scope of the allowable product claims will be rejoined and examined at the time the product claims are indicated as being allowable.

Application/Control Number: 08/973,815 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

Priority

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers filed under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d) based on an application filed in 08/973,815 on April 3, 1998. Applicant has not complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63(c), since the oath or declaration does not acknowledge the filing of PCT/DE96/01016. A new oath or declaration is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by application number and filing date.
- 3. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 4. This instant application, filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to prior application. A statement reading "This application claims priority to International Application PCT/DE96/01016, filed 6/10/96 and Foreign Application (German) 19521046.8, filed 6/09/95 should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Applicant is reminded that in order for a patent issuing on the instant application to obtain the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), a claim for such foreign priority must be made in this application.

Art Unit: 1642

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to because of reasons cited on attached form PTO 948 completed by draftsman. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 7 is vague and indefinite in its dependence from non-elected claim 1. For examination purposes, the limitations of non-elected claim 1 will be read into claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 08/973,815 Page 5

Art Unit: 1642

9. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent #5,830,744 (filed June 6, 1995). U.S. Patent #5,830,744 discloses antibodies directed against a protein having DNase activity, the same as that claimed (see column 2, lines 31-33 and column 14, lines 47-50). The DNase taught by U.S. Patent #5,830,744 contains a functional derivative of SEQ. ID. NO.1 from this instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent # 5,279,823 (filed June 8, 1992), in view of #5,830,744 (filed June 6, 1995). U.S. Patent #5,279,823 teaches a protein fragment and functional derivative having DNase activity comprising the amino acid sequence of figure 1 (SEQ. ID. NO:1). U.S. Patent #5,279,823 does not teach antibodies directed against the DNase.

However, U.S. Patent #5,830,744 teaches that polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies specific to a designated protein or peptide can be easily prepared with high biological activity (e.g., affinity, specificity, etc.). It would have been *prima facia* obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 08/973,815

Art Unit: 1642

the art at the time the claimed invention was made to produce antibodies reactive with a protein,

functional derivative or fragment of a protein with DNase activity. One of ordinary skill in the art

would have been motivated to do so with a reasonable expectation of success by teachings of

U.S. Patent #5,830,744 that the generation of antibodies could be readily produced by "direct

injection of the polypeptides into an animal or by administering the polypeptides to an nonhuman

animal. The antibody obtained would then be able to bind the polypeptides itself' and would be

useful to detect and characterize the DNase polypeptide. The patent also states that "in this

manner, even a sequence encoding only a fragment of the polypeptides can be used to generate

antibodies binding the whole native polypeptides."

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alana M. Harris whose telephone number is (703) 306-5880. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may

be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, can be reached on (703) 308-4310. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, Group 1642

February 14, 2000

('PAULA K. HUIZELL SUPFRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6